Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

Alternative I/O Models: *epoll*

Michael Kerrisk, man7.org © 2023

February 2023

mtk@man7.org

Outline	Rev: #e2bf8f005a44
9 Alternative I/O Models: <i>epoll</i>	9-1
9.1 Problems with <i>poll()</i> and <i>select()</i>	9-3
9.2 The <i>epoll</i> API	9-6
9.3 <i>epoll</i> events	9-17
9.4 <i>epoll</i> : edge-triggered notification	9-32
9.5 <i>epoll</i> : API quirks	9-45

Outline 9 Alternative I/O Models: *epoll*

9.1	Problems with poll() and select()	9-3
9.2	The epoll API	9-6
9.3	epoll events	9-17
9.4	epoll: edge-triggered notification	9-32
9.5	epoll: API guirks	9-45

Problems with poll() and select()

- poll() + select() are portable, long-standing, and widely used
- But, there are scalability problems when monitoring many FDs, because, on each call:
 - Program passes a data structure to kernel describing all FDs to be monitored
 - The kernel must recheck all specified FDs for readiness
 - This includes hooking (and subsequently unhooking) all FDs to handle case where it is necessary to block
 - The kernel passes a modified data structure describing readiness of all FDs back to program in user space
 - After the call, the program must inspect readiness state of all FDs in modified data
- Cost of select() and poll() scales with number of FDs being monitored

[TLPI §63.2.5]

9_1

Problems with *poll()* and *select()*

- poll() and select() have a design problem:
 - Typically, set of FDs monitored by application is static
 - (Or set changes only slowly)
 - But, kernel doesn't remember monitored FDs between calls
 - ullet \Rightarrow Info on all FDs must be copied back & forth on each call
- epoll improves performance by fixing this design problem
 - Kernel maintains a persistent set of FDs that application is interested in
 - Application can incrementally change "interest list"
- epoll cost scales according to number of I/O events
 - Much better performance when monitoring many FDs!
 - Signal-driven I/O scales similarly, for same reasons

[TLPI §63.4.5]

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-5 §9.1

9 Alternative I/O Models: <i>epoll</i>	9-1
9.1 Problems with <i>poll()</i> and <i>select()</i>	9-3
9.2 The <i>epoll</i> API	9-6
9.3 <i>epoll</i> events	9-17
9.4 <i>epoll</i> : edge-triggered notification	9-32
9.5 <i>epoll</i> : API quirks	9-45

Overview

Outline

- Like select() and poll(), epoll can monitor multiple FDs
- epoll returns readiness information in similar manner to poll()
- Two main advantages:
 - epoll provides much better performance when monitoring large numbers of FDs (see TLPI §63.4.5)
 - epoll provides two notification modes: level-triggered and edge-triggered
 - Default is level-triggered notification
 - select() and poll() provide only level-triggered notification
 - (Signal-driven I/O provides only edge-triggered notification)
- Linux-specific, since kernel 2.6.0

[TLPI §63.4]

epoll instances

Central data structure of epoll API is an epoll instance

- Persistent data structure maintained in kernel space
 - Referred to in user space via file descriptor
- Can (abstractly) be considered as container for two lists:
 - Interest list: list of FDs to be monitored
 - Ready list: list of FDs that are ready for I/O
 - Ready list is (dynamic) subset of interest list

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk


Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-9 §9.2

epoll APIs

The key *epoll* APIs are:

- epoll_create(): create a new epoll instance and return FD referring to instance
 - FD is used in the calls below
- epoll_ctl(): modify interest list of epoll instance
 - Add FDs to/remove FDs from interest list
 - Modify events mask for FDs currently in interest list
- epoll_wait(): return items from ready list of epoll instance



Creating an *epoll* instance: *epoll_create()*

```
#include <sys/epoll.h>
int epoll_create(int size);
```

- Creates an *epoll* instance
- size:
 - Since Linux 2.6.8: serves no purpose, but must be > 0
 - Before Linux 2.6.8: an estimate of number of FDs to be monitored via this epoll instance
- Returns file descriptor on success, or −1 on error
 - When FD is no longer required, it should be closed via close()
- Since Linux 2.6.27, epoll_create1() provides improved API
 - See the man page

[TLPI §63.4.1]

Modifying the *epoll* interest list: *epoll_ctl()*

```
#include <sys/epoll.h>
int epoll_ctl(int epfd, int op, int fd, struct epoll_event *ev);
```

- Modifies the interest list associated with epoll FD, epfd
- fd: identifies which FD in interest list is to have its settings modified
 - Can be FD for pipe, FIFO, terminal, socket, POSIX MQ
 - Can also be an epoll FD
 - An epoll FD indicates as readable if interest list is nonempty
 - Can't be FD for a regular file or directory

[TLPI §63.4.2]

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: *epoll*

9-13 §9.2

epoll_ctl() op argument

The *epoll_ctl() op* argument is one of:

- EPOLL_CTL_ADD: add fd to interest list
 - ev specifies events to be monitored for fd
 - If fd is already in interest list ⇒ EEXIST
- EPOLL_CTL_MOD: modify settings of fd in interest list
 - ev specifies new settings to be associated with fd
 - If fd is not in interest list ⇒ ENOENT
- EPOLL CTL DEL: remove fd from interest list
 - Also removes corresponding entry in ready list, if present
 - ev is ignored
 - If fd is not in interest list ⇒ ENOENT
 - Closing FD automatically removes it from epoll interest lists
 - A But this is not reliable: close does not occur in some cases! See later...

The *epoll_event* structure

epoll_ctl() ev argument is pointer to an epoll_event structure:

- ev.events: bit mask of events to monitor for fd
 - (Similar to events mask given to poll())
- data: info to be passed back to caller of epoll_wait() when fd later becomes ready
 - Union field: value is specified in one of the members

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-15 §9.2

Example: using epoll_create() and epoll_ctl()

Outline 9 Alternative I/O Models: epoll 9.1 Problems with poll() and select() 9.2 The epoll API 9.3 epoll events 9-1

Waiting for events: epoll_wait()

9.4 *epoll*: edge-triggered notification

9.5 epoll: API quirks

- Returns info about ready FDs in interest list of epoll instance of epfd
- Blocks until at least one FD is ready
- Info about ready FDs is returned in array evlist
 - I.e., can get information about multiple ready FDs with one epoll_wait() call
 - (Caller allocates the evlist array)
- maxevents: size of the evlist array

[TLPI §63.4.3]

9 - 32

9 - 45

Waiting for events: *epoll_wait()*

- timeout specifies a timeout for call:
 - −1: block until an FD in interest list becomes ready
 - 0: perform a nonblocking "poll" to see if any FDs in interest list are ready
 - > 0: block for up to timeout milliseconds or until an FD in interest list becomes ready
 - epoll_pwait2() (Linux 5.11) allows nanosecond timeout
- Return value:
 - > 0: number of items placed in evlist
 - 0: no FDs became ready within interval specified by timeout
 - −1: an error occurred

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-19 §9.3

Waiting for events: epoll_wait()

- Info about multiple FDs can be returned in the array evlist
- Each element of evlist returns info about one file descriptor:
 - events is a bit mask of events that have occurred for FD
 - data is ev.data value currently associated with FD in the interest list
- NB: the FD itself is not returned!
 - Instead, we put FD into ev.data.fd when calling epoll_ctl(), so that it is returned via epoll_wait()
 - (Or, put FD into a structure pointed to by ev.data.ptr)

Waiting for events: *epoll_wait()*

- If > maxevents FDs are ready, successive epoll_wait()
 calls round-robin through FDs
 - Helps prevent file descriptors being starved of attention
- In multithreaded programs:
 - One thread can modify interest list (epoll_ctl()) while another thread is blocked in epoll_wait()
 - epoll_wait() call will return if a newly added FD becomes ready

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-21 §9.3

epoll events

Following table shows:

- Bits given in ev.events to epoll_ctl()
- Bits returned in evlist[].events by epoll_wait()

Bit	epoll_ctl()?	epoll_wait()?	Description
EPOLLIN	•	•	Normal-priority data can be read
EPOLLPRI	•	•	High-priority data can be read
EPOLLRDHUP	•	•	Shutdown on peer socket
EPOLLOUT	•	•	Data can be written
EPOLLONESHOT	•		Disable monitoring after event notification
EPOLLET	•		Employ edge-triggered notification
EPOLLERR		•	An error has occurred
EPOLLHUP		•	A hangup occurred

- Other than EPOLLONESHOT and EPOLLET, bits have same meaning as similarly named poll() bit flags
- EPOLLIN, EPOLLPRI, EPOLLRDHUP, and EPOLLOUT are returned by epoll_wait() only
 if specified when adding FD using epoll_ctl()

[TLPI §63.4.3]

Example: altio/epoll_input.c

```
./epoll_input file...
```

- Monitors one or more files using epoll API to see if input is possible
- Suitable files to give as arguments are:
 - FIFOs
 - Terminal device names
 - (May need to run sleep command in FG on the other terminal, to prevent shell stealing input)

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-23 §9.3

Example: altio/epoll_input.c (1)

```
#define MAX_BUF 1000  /* Max. bytes for read() */
#define MAX_EVENTS 5
    /* Max. number of events to be returned from
        a single epoll_wait() call */

int epfd, ready, fd, s, j, numOpenFds;
struct epoll_event ev;
struct epoll_event evlist[MAX_EVENTS];
char buf[MAX_BUF];

epfd = epoll_create(argc - 1);
```

- Declarations for various variables
- Create an *epoll* instance, obtaining *epoll* FD

Example: altio/epoll_input.c (2)

```
for (j = 1; j < argc; j++) {
   fd = open(argv[j], O_RDONLY);
   printf("Opened \"%s\" on fd %d\n", argv[j], fd);

   ev.events = EPOLLIN;
   ev.data.fd = fd;
   epoll_ctl(epfd, EPOLL_CTL_ADD, fd, &ev);
}

numOpenFds = argc - 1;</pre>
```

- Open each of the files named on command line
- Each file is monitored for input (EPOLLIN)
- fd placed in ev.data, so it is returned by epoll_wait()
- Add the FD to epoll interest list (epoll_ctl())
- Track the number of open FDs

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-25 §9.3

Example: altio/epoll_input.c (3)

```
while (numOpenFds > 0) {
    printf("About to epoll_wait()\n");
    ready = epoll_wait(epfd, evlist, MAX_EVENTS, -1);
    if (ready == -1) {
        if (errno == EINTR)
            continue;    /* Restart if interrupted by signal */
        else
            errExit("epoll_wait");
    }
    printf("Ready: %d\n", ready);
```

- Loop, fetching epoll events and analyzing results
- Loop terminates when all FDs has been closed
- epoll_wait() call places up to MAX_EVENTS events in evlist
 - $timeout == -1 \Rightarrow infinite timeout$
- Return value of epoll_wait() is number of ready FDs

Example: altio/epoll_input.c (4)

- Scan up to ready items in evlist
- Display events bits
- If EPOLLIN event occurred, read some input and display it on stdout
 - $\%.*s \Rightarrow$ print string with field width taken from argument list (s)
- Otherwise, if error or hangup, close FD and decrements FD count
- Code correctly handles case where both EPOLLIN and EPOLLHUP are set in evlist[j].events

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-27 §9.3

Exercises

Write a client ([template: altio/ex.is_chat_cl.c]) that communicates with the TCP chat server program, is_chat_sv.c. The program should be run with the following command line:

```
./is_chat_cl <host> <port> [<nickname>]
```

The program should create a connection to the server, and then use the *epoll* API to monitor both the terminal and the TCP socket for input. All input that becomes available on the socket should be written to the terminal and vice versa.

- Each time the program sends input from the terminal to the socket, that input should be prepended by the nickname supplied on the command line. If no nickname is supplied, then use the string returned by getlogin(3). (snprintf(3) provides an easy way to concatenate the strings.)
- The program should terminate if it detects end-of-file or an error condition on either file descriptor.
 [Exercise continues on next slide]

Exercises

- Calling *epoll_wait()* with *maxevents==1* will simplify the code!
- As a simplification, you can assume that the socket is always writable (i.e., you don't need to monitor for the socket for EPOLLOUT).
- Bonus points if you find a way to crash the server (reproducibly)!

Linux/UNIX IPC Programming

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-29 §9.3

Exercises

- Write the chat server ([template: altio/ex.is_chat_sv.c]).
 Note the following points:
 - The program should take one command-line argument: the port number to which it should bind its listening socket.
 - The program should accept and handle multiple simultaneous client connections. Input read from any client should be broadcast to all other clients.
 - Use the *epoll* API to manage the file descriptors.
 - You should use nonblocking file descriptors to ensure that the server never blocks when accepting connections or when reading or writing to clients.
 - When the server detects end-of file or an error (other than EAGAIN) while reading or writing on a client socket, it should remove that socket from the epoll interest list and close the socket.

Exercises

Write a program ([template: altio/ex.epoll_pipes.c]) which performs the same task as the altio/poll_pipes.c program, but uses the *epoll* API instead of *poll()*.

Hints:

- After writing to the pipes, you will need to call <code>epoll_wait()</code> in a loop. The loop should be terminated when <code>epoll_wait()</code> indicates that there are no more ready file descriptors.
- After each call to epoll_wait(), you should display each ready
 pipe read file descriptor and then drain all input from that file
 descriptor so that it does not indicate as ready in future calls to
 epoll_wait().
- In order to drain a pipe without blocking, you will need to make the file descriptor for the read end of the pipe nonblocking.

$Linux_{f}$	/UNIX	IPC	Programming
-------------	-------	-----	-------------

©2023, Michael Kerrisk

Alternative I/O Models: epoll

9-31 §9.3